



Image by Book of Mormon Central

# WHAT DO THE KINDERHOOK PLATES REVEAL ABOUT JOSEPH SMITH’S GIFT OF TRANSLATION?

“Knowest thou of any one that can translate? For I am desirous that these records should be translated into our language; for, perhaps, they will give us a knowledge of a remnant of the people who have been destroyed .... Now Ammon said unto him: I can assuredly tell thee, O king, of a man that can translate the records; for he has wherewith that he can look, and translate all records that are of ancient date; and it is a gift from God.”

Mosiah 8:12–13

## THE KNOW

In the first week of May 1843, six bell-shaped brass plates, engraved on both sides, were brought to Nauvoo by people wanting to see if Joseph Smith would translate them. These odd plates had supposedly been dug up a week or so earlier in Kinderhook, Illinois, about 60 miles to the south.<sup>1</sup> Local news spread rapidly of their arrival, along with great anticipation for the presumed, forthcoming translation from Joseph. The *Times and Seasons*, then under the

editorship of John Taylor, proclaimed confidently, “We have no doubt, however, but Mr. Smith will be able to translate.”<sup>2</sup>

Charlotte Haven, a non-Mormon in Nauvoo at the time, claimed to have heard from an unidentified friend that Joseph “said that the figures or writing on them was similar to that in which the Book of Mormon was written” and “thought that by the help of revelation he would be able to translate them. So a sequel to that holy book may soon be expected.”<sup>3</sup>



we can see why: the Kinderhook plates were not legitimate. They were not “of ancient date,” so his gift of translation did not come to bear.

While some critics try to use this story as evidence that everything about Joseph Smith was a fraud, the historical evidence suggests that Joseph ultimately did not fall for the hoax—he never tried to purchase the plates, hire scribes, and go into translation mode, like he did with the ancient Egyptian papyri he had purchased in Ohio. He never produced a “Book of Kinderhook.”<sup>20</sup> Whatever Joseph Smith may have thought of the Kinderhook plates, the Lord could not be fooled. God would not and did not reveal a translation of these bogus artifacts.

The more universal message from the Kinderhook incident is that Joseph’s ability to translate, like the case of Mosiah’s in the Book of Mormon, was a gift from God, and only worked when God enabled him to do His will. The gift of “the interpretation of languages,” like all spiritual gifts, “come[s] by the Spirit of Christ; and they come unto every man severally, according as [Christ] will” (Moroni 10:16). Like Joseph and Mosiah, we all have gifts from the Lord, which can only be properly used to build-up the kingdom of God. If we try to use these gifts from the Lord for inappropriate or irrelevant purposes, we can expect underwhelming results, like those from the case of the Kinderhook plates.

## FURTHER READING

Don Bradley and Mark Ashurst-McGee, “Joseph Smith and the Kinderhook Plates,” in *A Reason for Faith: Navigating LDS Doctrine and Church History*, ed. Laura Harris Hales (Salt Lake City and Provo, UT: Deseret Book and BYU Religious Studies Center, 2016), 93–115.

Mark Alan Wright, “Joseph Smith and Native American Artifacts,” in *Approaching Antiquity: Joseph Smith and the Ancient World*, ed. Lincoln H. Blumell, Matthew J. Grey, and Andrew H. Hedges (Salt Lake City and Provo, UT: Deseret Book and BYU Religious Studies Center, 2015), 131–133.

Brian M. Hauglid, “Did Joseph Smith Translate the Kinderhook Plates?” in *No Weapon Shall Prosper: New Light on Sensitive Issues*, ed. Robert L. Millet (Salt Lake

City and Provo, UT: Deseret Book and BYU Religious Studies Center, 2011), 93–103.

Stanley B. Kimball, “[Kinderhook Plates Brought to Joseph Smith Appear to Be a Nineteenth Century Hoax](#),” *Ensign*, August 1981, [online](#) at lds.org.

© Book of Mormon Central, 2018



## NOTES

1. W. P. Harris, Letter to the Editor, *Times and Seasons* 4, no. 12, May 1, 1843, 186.
2. “Ancient Records,” *Times and Seasons* 4, no. 12, May 1, 1843, 186. However, the editor had to confess that at the time, he did not know Joseph’s opinion of the plates: “Mr. Smith has had those plates, what his opinion concerning them is, we have not yet ascertained.”
3. Charlotte Haven, “A Girl’s Letters from Nauvoo,” *Overland Monthly* 16, no. 96, December 1890, 630; letter written May 2, 1843.
4. Parley P. Pratt and Orson Pratt to John Van Cott, May 7, 1843; in Brian M. Hauglid, “[Come & Help Build the Temple & City: Parley P. and Orson Pratt’s Letter to John Van Cott](#),” *Mormon Historical Studies* 11, no. 1 (Spring 2011): 155.
5. “A Gentile,” letter to James Gordon Bennett, May 7, 1843, in “Late and Interesting from the Mormon Empire on the Upper Mississippi,” *New York Herald*, May 30, 1843. The editor of the *Quincy Whig* even stoked the fires: “Some pretend to say, that Smith the Mormon leader, has the ability to read them. . . . if Smith can decipher the hieroglyphics on the plates, he will do more towards throwing light on the early history of this continent, than any man now living.” “Singular Discovery—Material for Another Mormon Book,” *Times and Seasons* 4, no. 12, May 1, 1843, 186–187; originally published in the *Quincy Whig* 6, no. 2, May 3, 1843.
6. Wilbur Fugate to James T. Cobb, June 30, 1879, in Wilhelm Wyl (Wymetal), *Mormon Portraits* (Salt Lake City, 1888), 207–208. This wasn’t the only time folks came to Nauvoo with the intent of exposing Joseph Smith as an impostor. A year earlier, in April 1842, Henry Caswell visited Nauvoo and later said he showed Joseph a copy of a medieval Greek manuscript. Joseph allegedly identified the manuscript as Egyptian, which Caswell took as evidence of Joseph’s ignorance of ancient languages. For a reasonable assessment of this incident, see John W. Welch, “[Joseph Smith’s Awareness of Latin and Greek](#),” in *Approaching Antiquity: Joseph Smith and the Ancient World*, ed. Lincoln H. Blumell, Matthew J. Grey, and Andrew H. Hedges (Salt Lake City and Provo, UT: Deseret Book and BYU Religious Studies Center, 2015), 311–314.
7. See Stanley B. Kimball, “[Kinderhook Plates Brought to Joseph Smith Appear to Be a Nineteenth Century Hoax](#),” *Ensign*, August 1981, [online](#) at lds.org.
8. Near the end of June, a broadside published by John Taylor and Wilford Woodruff, still promised, “The contents of the Plates, together with a Fac-simile of the same, will be published in the ‘Times & Seasons,’ as soon as the translation is completed.” See “A Brief Account of the Discovery of the Brass Plates Recently Taken from a Mound near Kinderhook, Pike County, Illinois,” (Taylor & Woodruff, June 24, 1843).

9. Andrew H. Hedges, Alex D. Smith, and Brent M. Rodgers, eds., *Journals, Volume 3: May 1843–June 1844*, The Joseph Smith Papers (Salt Lake City, UT: Church Historians Press, 2015), 13 n.28: “no translation endorsed by JS has been located, suggesting that whatever JS initially thought about the plates, he soon lost interest in them.”
10. See George D. Smith, ed., *An Intimate Chronicle: The Journal of William Clayton* (Salt Lake City, UT: Signature Books, 1995), 100; Pratt to Van Cott, May 7, 1843, in Hauglid, “[Come & Help Build](#),” 155.
11. JS Journal, May 7, 1843, in Hedges, Smith, Rodgers, eds., *Journals* 3:13.
12. “A Gentile,” letter to Bennett, May 7, 1843. This source mistakenly associates the Egyptian Alphabet with the Book of Mormon, instead of the Book of Abraham, an understandable mistake for an outsider. The Egyptian Alphabet is part of the corpus known by historians as the Kirtland Egyptian Papers. It has Egyptian characters from the Joseph Smith Papyri with attempted “interpretations” next to them. Currently, there is disagreement among scholars regarding the nature and purpose of the KEP and their relationship to the Book of Abraham. For various perspectives, see Kerry Muhlestein, “[Egyptian Papyri and the Book of Abraham: A Faithful Egyptological Point of View](#),” in *No Weapon Shall Prosper: New Light on Sensitive Issues*, ed. Robert L. Millet (Salt Lake City and Provo, UT: Deseret Book and BYU Religious Studies Center, 2011), 228–229; Brian M. Hauglid, “[The Book of Abraham and the Egyptian Project: ‘A Knowledge of Hidden Languages’](#),” in *Approaching Antiquity: Joseph Smith and the Ancient World*, edited by Lincoln H. Blumell, Matthew J. Grey, and Andrew H. Hedges (Provo, UT: Religious Studies Center; Salt Lake City: Deseret Book, 2015), 474–511; John Gee, *An Introduction to the Book of Abraham* (Salt Lake City and Provo, UT: Deseret Book and BYU Religious Studies Center, 2017), 13–39.
13. Pratt to Van Cott, May 7, 1843, in Hauglid, “[Come & Help Build](#),” 155.
14. See Brian M. Hauglid, “[Did Joseph Smith Translate the Kinderhook Plates?](#)” in *No Weapon Shall Prosper: New Light on Sensitive Issues*, ed. Robert L. Millet (Salt Lake City and Provo, UT: Deseret Book and BYU Religious Studies Center, 2011), 93–103.
15. Hedges, Smith, Rodgers, eds., *Journals* 3:13 n.28.
16. See Hedges, Smith, Rodgers, eds., *Journals* 3:8–16, also online at josephsmithpapers.org. The editors note (p. 13 n.28): “No further mention of the plates is made in JS’s journal after this 7 May entry.”
17. See Kimball, “[Kinderhook Plates](#),” on lds.org.
18. Years later, in a letter to a Mr. Flagg, one of the men who brought the plates to Nauvoo indicated that he did not know, at the time, that the plates were a hoax, and that he was sincerely interested in learning more about them. See W.P. Harris to Mr. Flagg, April 25, 1855, in “A Hoax: Reminiscences of an Old Kinderhook Mystery,” *Journal of the Illinois State Historical Society* 5 (July 1912): 271–273.
19. Don Bradley and Mark Ashurst-McGee, “Joseph Smith and the Kinderhook Plates,” in *A Reason for Faith: Navigating LDS Doctrine and Church History*, ed. Laura Harris Hales (Salt Lake City and Provo, UT: Deseret Book and BYU Religious Studies Center, 2016), 93–115.
20. Richard Lyman Bushman, *Joseph Smith: Rough Stone Rolling* (New York, NY: Alfred A. Knopf, 2005), 490: “After the first

meeting, no further mention was made of translation, and the Kinderhook plates dropped out of sight. Joseph may not have detected the fraud, but he did not swing into a full-fledged translation as he had with the Egyptian scrolls. The trap did not quite spring shut, which foiled the conspirators’ original plan. Instead of exposing the plot immediately, as they had probably intended to do, they said nothing until 1879, when one of them signed an affidavit describing the fabrication.”