



KnoWhy # 271  
February 6, 2017



Book of Mormon Stories via lds.org?

## Why Did Nephi Use Chiasmus to Testify of Christ?

“Wherefore, by the words of three, God hath said, I will establish my word.”

2 Nephi 11:3

### The Know

Much has been said about the use of chiasmus in the Book of Mormon.<sup>1</sup> The early prophet-writer Nephi was one of the most skilled authors at using this literary form, and he employed it in a number of ways. The most important way Nephi used it, however, was to testify of Christ.<sup>2</sup>

For example, Nephi used chiasmus as a means of centralizing Isaiah’s important witness of the Messiah.<sup>3</sup> As John W. Welch noted in 1981, 2 Nephi “begins with Lehi’s final Testaments to his sons, grandsons, and sons-in-law. It ends with Nephi’s Testament to his nation,” and in the middle sits Isaiah.<sup>4</sup>

- A The Testaments of Lehi; his death (Chs. 1–5)
  - B Jacob’s Commentary on Isaiah 50–51 (Chs. 6–10)
    - C Isaiah 2–14 as “third witness” (Chs. 11–24)
  - B’ Nephi’s Commentary on Isaiah 2–14 (Chs. 25–30)
- A’ The Testament of Nephi (Chs. 31–33).<sup>5</sup>

Nephi said he included the words of Isaiah because Isaiah “saw my Redeemer, even as I have seen him. And my brother, Jacob, also has seen him as I have seen him;

wherefore, ... by the words of three, God hath said, I will establish my word” (2 Nephi 11:2–3). Isaiah was Nephi’s third witness of the Savior, and Nephi seems to have carefully and intentionally emphasized Isaiah’s witness by placing it at the center of his chiasm—between Nephi’s and Jacob’s witnesses of Christ.<sup>6</sup> As Elder Jeffery R. Holland noted, “Standing like sentinels at the gate of the book, Nephi, Jacob, and Isaiah admit us into the scriptural presence of the Lord.”<sup>7</sup>

In 2016, Noel B. Reynolds expanded this chiastic understanding of 2 Nephi, seeing on a fuller scale how Nephi’s witness of Christ is indeed the focal point of all of 2 Nephi, as demonstrated by the chart below:

- A Lehi’s final testimony and call to his family to repentance. (2 Nephi 1)
  - B The Spirit—Jacob redeemed—in the service of God. (2 Nephi 2)
    - C Lehi’s detailed explanation of the way of salvation based on “the things which [he] had read.” (2 Nephi 2)
      - D Lehi’s last blessings (prophecies) to his people. (2 Nephi 4)
        - E Historical interlude—the founding of “the people of Nephi”—“my soul delighteth.” (2 Nephi 5)

F Jacob's teachings witness of Christ. (2 Nephi 6–10)

G Nephi's witness of Christ. (2 Nephi 11)

F' Isaiah's prophecies witness of Christ. (2 Nephi 12–24)

E' Historical interlude—the education of “my people”—“my soul delighteth.” (2 Nephi 25–26)

D' Final restatement of Nephi's prophecies—to all people. (2 Nephi 27–30)

C' Nephi's detailed explanation of the way or doctrine of Christ based on what he learned from the Father and the Son directly. (2 Nephi 31)

B' The Spirit—the Holy Ghost will show you what to do. (2 Nephi 32)

A' Nephi's final testimony and call to all people to repentance.<sup>8</sup> (2 Nephi 33)

In addition to this broader use of chiasmus, David Sloan has noted that Nephi also uses smaller chiasms to testify of Christ. One good example of this is how Nephi placed his first four references to Christ as parallel elements in two smaller chiasms at the heart of 2 Nephi, as shown below (2 Nephi 11:4–5 and 6–7):

A Behold, *my soul delighteth* in proving unto my people

B the truth of the coming of *Christ*;

C for, for this end hath the law of Moses been *given*;

C' and all things which have *been given* of God from the beginning of the world, unto man,

B' are the typifying of *him*.

A' And also *my soul delighteth* in the covenants of the Lord which he hath made to our fathers . . . . (2 Nephi 11:4–5)

D Save Christ should *come* all men must perish.

E For if there be no *Christ*

F there be no *God*;

G and if there be no God we are not,

G' for there could have been no creation.

F' But there is a *God*,

E' and he is *Christ*,

D' and he *cometh* in the fulness of his own time. (2 Nephi 11:6–7)<sup>9</sup>

In this passage, the first two instances of the name Christ are found as parallel elements in the *b* sections, and the second two instances are found in the *e* sections.<sup>10</sup> This emphasizes twice over that Nephi's greatest delight is in proving the truth about Christ to his people. This double chiasmic composition also emphasizes important truths about Christ. The center of these two chiasms teach two similar truths:

1. The Law of Moses and everything else God has revealed typify Christ.

2. The creation itself depends on Christ.

In all of these ways, Nephi's central emphasis on Christ in 2 Nephi is in keeping with one of the foundational rules of chiasmus set forth by Nils Lund in 1942, that because the center of a chiasm is the focus of the chiasm, references to deity are typically concentrated there at the center of strong uses of chiasmus.<sup>11</sup> This is exactly what one finds in 2 Nephi.

## The Why

It may be easy to look at chiasmus in the Book of Mormon simply as a curiosity or a subtle evidence of its authenticity. However, scholarship suggests that it can be much more than this. Scholar Dennis Newton has determined through statistical analysis that Nephi's chiasms were almost certainly intentional.<sup>12</sup> If this is the case, then Nephi deliberately used chiasmus to make many powerful points about the centrality of Christ to his life and to creation itself. These are points that the modern reader could easily miss if they failed to notice the chiasmus in the chapter or were unaware of how chiasmus worked.

As one looks at 2 Nephi with chiasmus in mind, it suddenly becomes more evident how central Christ is to the book of 2 Nephi. For example, 2 Nephi 11:2–8 centers on the idea that the covenants of the Lord typify Christ.<sup>13</sup> 2 Nephi 25:24–27, similarly, emphasizes that Christ is the center of their religious observations, even though they were keeping the Law of Moses.<sup>14</sup> The name “Christ” appears 53 times throughout 2 Nephi, often within chiasms that emphasize different parts of Christ's character or mission.<sup>15</sup>

As Newton stated, “Of the fifteen chiasm candidates examined, eleven were authored with a single literary purpose in mind: to explore the salvational importance

of God's word, obedience to that word, and, ultimately, to resolve the question of how our relationship with Christ intersects and interrelates to our observance of the commandments."<sup>16</sup>

Looking carefully at Nephi's use of chiasmus can help readers to understand Christ better, because it can help them understand better what Nephi said about Him. Christ is the dominant character throughout the entire Book of Mormon, and knowing how the ancient authors of the book expressed this idea can help modern readers to notice more about the Savior and to appreciate more specifically His centrality in all things.

## Further Reading

Dennis Newton, "Nephi's Use of Inverted Parallels," *Interpreter: A Journal of Mormon Scripture* 22 (2016): 79–106.

David E. Sloan, "Nephi's Convincing of Christ through Chiasmus: Plain and Precious Persuading from a Prophet of God," *Journal of Book of Mormon Studies* 6, no. 2 (1997) 67–98.

John W. Welch, "Chiasmus in the Book of Mormon," in *Chiasmus in Antiquity: Structures, Analyses, Exegesis*, ed. John W. Welch (Hildesheim: Gerstenberg Verlag, 1981; reprint Provo, UT: Research Press, 1999), 198–210.

© Book of Mormon Central, 2016



## Notes

1. See, for example, John W. Welch, "Chiasmus in the Book of Mormon," in *Chiasmus in Antiquity*, ed. John W. Welch (Hildesheim: Gerstenberg, 1981), 198–210; Book of Mormon Central, "Why Is The Presence Of Chiasmus In The Book Of Mormon Significant? (Mosi-ah 5:10–12)," *KnoWhy* 166 (August 16, 2016).

2. David E. Sloan, "Nephi's Convincing of Christ through Chiasmus: Plain and Precious Persuading from a Prophet of God," *Journal of Book of Mormon Studies* 6, no. 2 (1997): 67–98.

3. Sloan, "Nephi's Convincing of Christ through Chiasmus," 69.

4. Welch, "Chiasmus in the Book of Mormon," 201.

5. Welch, "Chiasmus in the Book of Mormon," 201.

6. For another example of a chiasm that focuses on Christ, see Book of Mormon Central, "Why Was Chiasmus Used In Nephite Record Keeping? (Helaman 6:10)," *KnoWhy* 177 (August 31, 2016).

7. Jeffrey R. Holland, *Christ and the New Covenant* (Salt Lake City, UT: Deseret Book, 1997), 36.

8. Noel B. Reynolds, "The Chiastic Structuring of Large Texts: Second Nephi as a Case Study," unpublished working paper, December 30, 2016.

9. Based on Sloan, "Nephi's Convincing of Christ through Chiasmus," 74–75.

10. Sloan, "Nephi's Convincing of Christ through Chiasmus," 75.

11. Nils Wilhelm Lund, *Chiasmus in the New Testament* (Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina Press, 1942), 40–41, 46.

12. Newton, "Nephi's Use of Inverted Parallels," 82–83.

13. Dennis Newton, "Nephi's Use of Inverted Parallels," *Interpreter: A Journal of Mormon Scripture* 22 (2016): 93.

14. Newton, "Nephi's Use of Inverted Parallels," 97.

15. Sloan, "Nephi's Convincing of Christ through Chiasmus," 68.

16. Newton, "Nephi's Use of Inverted Parallels," 98.