



KnoWhy #131
June 28, 2016



“The Anti-Nephi-Lehies Burying Their Swords.” Image via lds.org

Why Did Converted Lamanites Call Themselves Anti-Nephi-Lehies?

And it came to pass that they called their names Anti-Nephi-Lehies.

Alma 23:17

The Know

The extraordinary missionary work of the sons of Mosiah as recorded in Alma 17–27 resulted in a wave of Lamanite conversions. Not only were Lamanite lower-classes converted, but also their royalty (Alma 18:22–19:26; 22:1–26).

Among those converted were groups of Lamanites taught by Ammon, who, upon their conversion, took the name Anti-Nephi-Lehies (Alma 23:4–18). This, the text informs, was done so that these converts “might be distinguished from their brethren” who remained unconverted (v. 16).

Included among the converts was the Lamanite king, who gave his son a new name—Anti-Nephi-Lehi—upon his ascension to the throne (Alma 24:1–6).

Concerning these converted Lamanites, Mormon wrote, “They began to be a very industrious people; yea, and they were friendly with the Nephites; therefore, they did open a correspondence with them, and the curse of God did no more follow them” (Alma 23:18).¹

The unusual name Anti-Nephi-Lehi has perplexed Book of Mormon readers, especially in trying to make

sense of the presence of *Anti-* in this name.² It often causes confusion, because it looks like the Greek preposition (*anti-*) commonly used today to mean “opposed to; against.”

In light of the context, it would not make sense for these recent converts to declare themselves to be *against* Nephi and Lehi. Alternatively, if the name element *Anti-* derives from the Egyptian relative adjective *nty*, which means “the one who,” “that,” or “which,” then the name would mean just the opposite; roughly, “that-which(-is-of-)Nephi-Lehi” or “the-one-who[-is-of-]Nephi-Lehi.”³

This proposal works especially well in light of what follows directly after the name is given. The text, as mentioned above, indicates that the Anti-Nephi-Lehies “were *friendly* with the Nephites” upon their conversion, and “did open a correspondence with them” (Alma 23:18).

More than simply “friendly” in the common sense of the word, the Anti-Nephi-Lehies and Nephites entered into a covenant or treaty that gave the Anti-Nephi-Lehies land and the Nephites’ protection (cf. Alma 27).

The fact that these two parties were “friendly” to each other is perhaps best understood to mean they created a political alliance. This would be consistent with other Book of Mormon passages. King Lamoni, for instance, spoke of *Antiomno* as “a friend unto me,” and as such was able to use a political favor by having Ammon’s brethren released from prison (Alma 20:4).

Allies used this kind of language in several ancient Near Eastern cultures. In treaties or political alliances (as seen in political correspondences from Mari, Ugarit, and Egypt), the participating powers often employed familial language to describe the diplomatic relationships between themselves, including “father,” “son,” and “brother.”⁴ Israel is called Jehovah’s covenant “son” in such places as the book of Hosea (cf. Hosea 11:1–4).⁵

In the Greco-Roman world, including in the New Testament (cf. Luke 23:12), the language of “friends” was used to describe political and economic alliances between Rome and other potentates. The same is also true of Book of Mormon peoples (Jacob 1:14; Mosiah 24:5; 28:2).⁶

The Why

Taking the name Anti-Nephi-Lehi was a public statement made by this group of converts that they had independently stepped away from the well-established

political order. This bold step could not be kept secret for long. This coronation name may have served several purposes, one of which could have been to signal their solidarity with their new Nephite friends and allies.

By adopting this name, which included both Nephi and Lehi, this king and his people also implicitly recognized themselves as descendants of Lehi living in the land of Nephi.

Instead of following the traditions of their more recent fathers, these people now sought to look back to the times and teachings of Father Lehi who had taught the true way to the tree of life and had promised all of his posterity the blessings of peace and prosperity based on their united obedience to the laws of the coming Messiah.

In bearing this name, the commitment and bravery of these Lamanite converts should not be overlooked. By taking upon them this new name (“the-one-who(-is-of-)Nephi-Lehi”), these Lamanites defied the traditions of their fathers,⁷ and exposed themselves to retaliation.

Immediately after their conversion, they were attacked by their former Lamanite allies, who “were stirred up ...to anger against their brethren” (Alma 24:1). Hatred against the Anti-Nephi-Lehies was so strong (v. 2), that many of the Anti-Nephi-Lehies were massacred by their former kindred (vv. 20–22).

This entire episode makes the most sense of the Anti-Nephi-Lehies if they had done more than simply convert to another religion. Throughout the ancient world, where separation of church and state was practically unheard of, devotion to a deity, loyalty to political structures, and preservation of one’s cultural identity were wrapped up into a single essence. This was certainly true for many peoples in the Book of Mormon.

By converting to the gospel, taking the name Anti-Nephi-Lehi, adopting a Nephite identity, and becoming their “friends” (cf. Alma 27:20–27), the Lamanite converts undoubtedly recognized the risks they ran by signaling their complete and unfailing devotion to their newly embraced God.



Further Reading

“Anti-Nephi-Lehi,” *Book of Mormon Onomasticon*, ed. Paul Y. Hoskisson.

Royal Skousen, *Analysis of Textual Variants of the Book of Mormon: Part 4, Alma 21–55* (Provo, UT: FARMS, 2007), 2091–2095.

Stephen D. Ricks, “Anti-Nephi-Lehies,” in *Book of Mormon Reference Companion*, ed. Dennis L. Largey (Salt Lake City, UT: Deseret Book, 2003), 67–68.

Notes

1. On the nature of the Lamanite curse, see Book of Mormon Central, “What Does It Mean to Be a White and Delightful People? (2 Nephi 30:6),” *KnoWhy* 57 (March 18, 2016); “Why Did Book of Mormon Prophets Discourage Nephite-Lamanite Inter-marriage? (Alma 3:8),” *KnoWhy* 110 (May 30, 2016).

2. See Kevin Barney, “Anti-Nephi-Lehies,” *By Common Consent*, online at bycommonconsent.com; “Anti-Nephi-Lehi,” *Book of Mormon Onomasticon*, ed. Paul Y. Hoskisson; Royal Skousen, *Analysis of Textual Variants of the Book of Mormon: Part 4, Alma 21–55* (Provo, UT: FARMS, 2007), 2091–2095.

3. Stephen D. Ricks, “Anti-Nephi-Lehi,” in *Book of Mormon Reference Companion*, ed. Dennis L. Largey (Salt Lake City, UT: Deseret Book, 2003), 67; compare Alan Gardiner, *Egyptian Grammar*, 3rd edition (Oxford: Griffith Institute, 1957), 150–151; James E. Hoch, *Middle Egyptian Grammar*, SEA Publication XV (Toronto: Benben Publications, 1997), 124–127; James P. Allen, *Middle Egyptian: An Introduction to the Language and Culture of Hieroglyphs*, 3rd edition (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2014), 350–351.

4. F. Charles Fensham, “Father and Son Terminology for Treaty and Covenant,” in *Near Eastern Studies in Honor of William Foxwell Albright*, ed. by Hans Goedicke (Baltimore, MD: The Johns Hopkins University Press, 1971), 121–135; J. David Schloen, *The House of the Father as Fact and Symbol: Patrimonialism in Ugarit and the Ancient Near East* (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2001), 255–262. Also see Book of Mormon Central, “What Did it Mean to Be a ‘King Over All the Land’? (Alma 20:8),” *KnoWhy* 128 (June 23, 2016).

5. See the commentary offered by Joy P. Kakkanattu, *God’s Enduring Love in the Book of Hosea: A Synchronic and Diachronic Analysis of Hosea 11:1–11*, *Forschung zum Alten Testament* 2. Reihe 14 (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2006), 31–44. T. Benjamin Spackman, “The Israelite Roots of Atonement Terminology,” *BYU Studies Quarterly* 55, no. 1 (2016): 53–57.

6. John W. Welch, “The Elimination of Jesus as an Instance of International Cooperation: Lessons from Ancient Near Eastern Realpolitik,” paper delivered at the International Meeting of the Society of Biblical Literature, Vienna, Austria, July 2014, paper in our possession; Raymond Westbrook, “Patronage in the Ancient Near East,” *Journal of the Economic and Social History of the Orient* 48, no. 2 (2005): 213. “Terms of affect such as ‘love’ are employed in servant-master/vassal-overlord relations (witness the biblical injunction that Israelites should love their god). Even terms for ‘friend’ may designate a commercial or professional relationship.”

7. See Book of Mormon Central “Why Did Ammon Borrow So Much from Tradition in Alma 26? (Alma 26:8),” *KnoWhy* 133 (June 30, 2016).